



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/086,360	03/01/2002	John H. Dagel	P05538US0	2722
22885	7590 06/17/2003			
MCKEE, VOORHEES & SEASE, P.L.C. 801 GRAND AVENUE SUITE 3200 DES MOINES, IA 50309-2721			EXAMINER	
			BATSON, VICTOR D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	

EEST AVAILABLE COPY

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			2			
	ı	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/086,360	DAGEL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Victor Batson	3671			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	correspond nce address			
THE N - Extens after S - If the I - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
.,∟ 2a)□		— · is action is non-final.				
3)□	/ -					
Disposition	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.			
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application	ı .				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		AS VALUE A BLIT CODY			
6)🖂	5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,2,7,9,11,12,14,17-19,22,23 and 25</u> is/are rejected. BEST AVAILABLE COPY					
7)🖾	Claim(s) 3-6,8,10,13,15,16,20,21 and 24 is/are					
• —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requirement.	·			
	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 March 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •				
Attachment	(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
I.S. Patent and Tra	ademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/086,360

Art Unit: 3671

Drawing BEST AVAILABLE COPY

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Reference number 12 does not appear to be included in the drawings. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims18,19 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 18, line 2, it appears that "removable" should be changed to "removably". In claim 18 & 19, "the use and transport positions" lacks proper antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1,9,11,12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boxrud (3,331,249).

Boxrud discloses a soil probe having all of applicant's claimed structure including a frame, a wheel having a plurality of soil probes 30 & 35, and a hydraulic cylinder 37, with the frame pivotally connected such that the wheel is movable between a

Application/Control Number: 10/086,360 BEST AVAILABLE COPY

longitudinally extended use position (fig 1) and a laterally folded transport position (fig 4). Concerning claim 9, some of the probes 35 are considered spikes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2,7,14,17,18,19,22,23,25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boxrud (3,331,249) if view of Little (3,739,857).

Boxrud discloses a soil probe as described previously, but lacks the use of plunger assemblies.

Little teaches that it is known in the art to use biased plunger assemblies (fig 2), to help expel the gathered soil samples.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify the device of Boxrud by using biased plunder assemblies as taught by Little, to help expel the soil samples.

Concerning the claims 22 & 23, the combination renders the claimed method steps obvious since such would be a logical manner of using the combination. Concerning claims 7 &18, the examiner takes official notice that it is notoriously old and well known to use of a pin to retain 2 movable members in a stationary position. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify the device of Boxrud, by using a pin to hold the

Application/Control Number: 10/086,360

Art Unit: 3671

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

apparatus in the transport position shown in figure 4, to further secure the probe in the transport position, thereby enhancing the overall safety of the apparatus.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-6,8,10,13,15,16,20,21,24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor Batson whose telephone number is (703) 305-6356. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (except Wednesday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Will can be reached on (703) 308-3870. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1115.

June 13, 2003

Victor Batson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671

hit Bath